

PROPOSAL OF BACHELOR'S DEGREE FINAL PROJECT

Bachelor's Degree in: Speciality:	
PERSONAL INFORMATION	
Address:	, Province
BACHELOR THESIS INFORMATION	
TITLE:	
TYPOLOGY: Type A Type B	Work carried out within a MOBILITY PROGRAMME (Erasmus,): YES NO
CO-AUTHORSHIP¹ WITH: Surname, name	NIP
SUPERVISOR:2 SURNAME: ID/Passport: DEPARTMENT: If supervisor do not belong to the UZ: - INSTITUTION/COMPANY: - POSITION:	
- UNIVERSITY DEGREE:	Signed:
CO-SUPERVISOR: (Fill in case of joint supervisio SURNAME: ID/Passport: AREA/Field DEPARTMENT: If supervisor do not belong to the UZ:	of study:
- INSTITUTION/COMPANY: POSITION: UNIVERSITY DEGREE:	Email
	Signed:
ACADEMIC SUPERVISOR:4 SURNAME: ID/Passport: DEPARTMENT: AREA/Field	of study:
S	iigned:

- ¹ The co-authorship should be justified by written annex, differentiating the contribution of each author facing their individual evaluation. (Important: co-authorship is not allowed in studies/degrees with professional responsabilities).
- ² If the supervisor/co-supervisor is not a universitary professor:
 - Requires positive report from the Academic Commission of the degree (see section report).
 - Regarding the possible confidentiality of the work:
 - · The assesment committee has access to all documents provided by the student.
 - · The defense has public character.
- ³ The need of co-supervision should be justified by written annex, that also requires the report of Academic Commission.
- ⁴ If the supervisor or co-supervisor don't belong to the UZ or to the knowledge areas related to the degree, shall be required that a professor of such areas take over Academic Supervisor's tasks.

<u> </u>
U
Ш
2
U
4
م
ط ح
Д 1
414
VAL P
AL
AL
AL
FINAL H
FINAL H
FINAL H

SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED WORK Objectives and approached problems:	
Relationship/Contribution to the Sustainable De	
(Indicate SDG and targets according to instructions for prepare	aring the proposal: only numerical number)
- Methodology (focus and tools):	
Schedule (steps of the research):	
Parameter and ideals	
Documents provided: Co-authorship justification.	Zaragoza, (date
	THE STUDENT,
Co-supervisor justification.	
Acceptance of the Final Project by the company.	Signed:
ACADEMIC COMMISSION REPORT:	0.6.10.0.1
After evaluating the TFG proposed data, this, agreed:	Commission in meeting held the day
1. Issue a report:	A to convert.
POSITIVE POSITIVE, conditioned	d to correct: NEGATIVE. Reasons:
2. Given the work's features, the following Committee ^{5/6} :	composition is proposed for the Assesme
Area Member 1:	
Area Member 2: Area Member 3:	
3. Academic supervisor proposed for projects fulf	filled in Mobility Programmes (Erasmus,):
Area:	
	THE COMMISSION CHAIRWOMAN/CHAIRMAN, Electronically signed and with verifiable authenticity according to article 27.3c of law 39/2015

According to the knowledge areas structure approved in School Board.
 it is not necessary to indicate the composition of Assesment Committee in case of projects fulfilled in Mobility Programmes.